■ Coordinate transformations

- Image formation

■ Vanishing points

- Stereo imaging


## Images of the 3-D world 

- What is the geometry of the image of a three dimensional object?
- Given a point in space, where will we see it in an image?
- Given a line segment in space, what does its image look like?
- Why do the images of lines that are parallel in space appear to converge to a single point in an image?
■ How can we recover information about the 3-D world from a 2-D image?
- Given a point in an image, what can we say about the location of the 3-D point in space?
- Are there advantages to having more than one image in recovering 3-D information?
- If we know the geometry of a 3-D object, can we locate it in space (say for a robot to pick it up) from a 2-D image?


## Euclidean versus projective geometry

■ Euclidean geometry describes shapes "as they are"

- properties of objects that are unchanged by rigid motions
» lengths
» angles
» parallelism
■ Projective geometry describes objects "as they appear"
- lengths, angles, parallelism become "distorted" when we look at objects
- mathematical model for how images of the 3D world are formed

Image formation

|  | Example 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | - $\square_{\text {- }}$ |
| Consider a set of railroad tracks <br> - Their actual shape: <br> » tracks are parallel <br> » ties are perpendicular to the tracks <br> » ties are evenly spaced along the tracks <br> - Their appearance <br> » tracks converge to a point on the horizon <br> » tracks don't meet ties at right angles <br> $»$ ties become closer and closer towards the horizon |  |

■ Corner of a room

- Actual shape
» three walls meeting at right angles. Total of $270^{\circ}$ of angle.
- Appearance
» a point on which three lines segments are concurrent. Total angle is $360^{\circ}$



■ To begin with, let's assume we're looking at a scene on the plane, $\sigma$, which is perpendicular to the image plane $\rho$.

- To each point $P$ on $\sigma$ we associate the point p on $\rho$ corresponding to the intersection of the line CP with the plane $\rho$.
- C is called the center of projection
- The point of intersection of the line through the optical center, C , that is perpendicular to the image plane (and so parallel to the object plane) is called the principal vanishing point, V.
- The line, v , which is the intersection of the picture plane and the plane through P
 parallel to the object plane is called the vanishing line, or the horizon line.

- The images of all the points on $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ lie on the plane $\pi_{1}$ defined by $L_{1}$ and $C$.
- this is because the lines of sight for each point on $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ lie on this plane.
- The image of $L_{1}$ is then the intersection of $\pi_{1}$ with the picture plane $-1_{1}$.
- $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ is parallel to CV since they are both perpendicular to the picture plane.
- But, then CV must also lie on $\pi_{1}$, since parallel lines are co-planar, and $V$ lies on $\pi_{1}$.
- So, the principal vanishing point must lie on $1_{1}$, Object plane, $\sigma$ since it lies on both $\pi_{1}$ and the picture plane.
- Similarly, V must lie on $1_{2}$, the image of $\mathrm{L}_{2}$.
- In fact, ALL lines in the object plane that are perpendicular to the image plane, image to lines
 passing through V .
- This is the image of the "point at infinity" for that set of parallel lines
Image formation

- This places $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ on both $\pi_{\mathrm{i}}$ and the image plane, so it must lie on their intersection, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
- So, any family of parallel lines on the object plane will image to a set of lines passing through a point (vanishing point) on the vanishing line.
- As we rotate the set of lines, the vanishing point moves along the vanishing line.
- So even though parallel lines don't "meet" we can see where they meet in images!


General case

- Generally, the vanishing line for any object plane is the line of intersection of the
- image plane
- plane parallel to the object plane through C (called the horizon plane).
- The vanishing point for a line on the object plane is the intersection of the
- vanishing line
- plane containing the original line and the center of projection.




## Homogeneous coordinates 

- Classical Euclidean geometry: through any point not on a given line, there exists a unique line which is parallel to the given line.
- For 2,000 years, mathematician tried to "prove" this from Euclid's postulates.
- In the early $20^{\prime}$ th century, geometry was revolutionized when mathematicians asked: What if this were false?
- That is, what if we assumed that EVERY pair of lines intersected?
- To do this, we'll have to add points and lines to the standard Euclidean plane.
■ If ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) are the rectangular coordinates of a point, P , and if $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ are any three real numbers such that:
$-x_{1} / x_{3}=x$
$-x_{2} / x_{3}=y$
then $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ are a set of homogeneous coordinates for ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ).
- So, in particular, ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 1$ ) are a set of homogeneous coordinates for $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$
- Given the homogeneous coordinates, $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$, the rectangular coordinates can be recovered.
- But ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) has an infinite number of homogeneous coordinate representations, because if $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ are homogeneous coordinates of $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, then so are $\left(\mathrm{kx}_{1}\right.$, $\mathrm{kx}_{2}, \mathrm{kx}_{3}$ ) for any $\mathrm{k}<>0$.


## Points and lines

■ In Euclidean coordinates, we can represent a line by:
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}+l_{2} \mathrm{y}+l_{3}=0 \quad l_{l}, l_{2}$ not both 0.

- In homogeneous coordinates, the equation becomes
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}=0$
- So a line is determined by the three coefficients $\left(l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right)$

■ If $l$ is the line $l_{l} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}=0$, then we say that $\left[l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right]$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $l$.

- So, in homogeneous coordinates, points and lines look the same - triples of numbers.
- We'll represent points as (...) and lines as [...] to keep things straight.
- A line is uniquely determined by a set of homogeneous coordinates, but a given line has an infinite number of homogeneous coordinate representations.
- If $\left[l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right]$ represents a given line, then so does $\left[\mathrm{k} l_{1}, k l_{2}, k l_{3}\right]$.
" I.e., the same set of points satisfy the equations $l_{l} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}=0$ and $\mathrm{k} l_{l} \mathrm{x}_{1}$ $+\mathrm{k} l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{k} l_{3} x_{3}=0$


## Points and lines

- We usually think of the equation of a line as specifying the set of points that lie on that line.
- It's the set of points that satisfies $l_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}=0$
- Equivalently, we can think of a point as an equation also! It specifies the set of all lines that pass through that point.
- It's the set of lines that satisfies $\mathrm{x}_{1} l_{l}+\mathrm{x}_{2} l_{2}+x_{3} l_{3}=0$
- Now, given two points $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$, what are the coordinates of the line, $l$, that passes through A and B .
$-\mathrm{a}_{1} l_{l}+\mathrm{a}_{2} l_{2}+\mathrm{a}_{3} l_{3}=0$
$-\mathrm{b}_{1} l_{l}+\mathrm{b}_{2} l_{2}+\mathrm{b}_{3} l_{3}=0$
- The solution is:
$-l_{1}=\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{3}-\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)$
$-l_{2}=-\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{3}-\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)$
$-l_{3}=k\left(\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)$
- for arbitrary $k$
- The solutions for $l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3}$ are the expansions of the second order determinants obtained from the array of the coordinates of A and B
$\begin{array}{lll}a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3}\end{array}$
by omitting the first, second and third columns in turn.
- So, for example:

$$
l_{1}=k\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
b_{2} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right|=k\left(a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2}\right)
$$

## Points and lines

- Since points and lines are the "same" it must be true that if the point $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ is the intersection of $\left[l_{l}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}, \mathrm{~m}_{3}\right]$ then:
$-\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{k}\left(l_{2} \mathrm{~m}_{3}-l_{3} \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right)$
$-\mathrm{x}_{2}=-\mathrm{k}\left(l_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{3}-l_{3} \mathrm{~m}_{1}\right)$
$-\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{k}\left(l_{l} \mathrm{~m}_{1}-l_{l} \mathrm{~m}_{1}\right)$
- Now, in rectangular coordinates, if we try to fine the intersection of the following two parallel lines:
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}+l_{2} \mathrm{y}+l_{3}=0$
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}+l_{2} \mathrm{y}+l_{3}{ }_{3}=0 \quad l_{3}<>l_{3}^{\prime}$
- we get:

$$
x=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-l_{3} & l_{2} \\
-l_{3}^{\prime} & l_{2}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
l_{1} & l_{2} \\
l_{1} & l_{2}
\end{array}\right|}=\infty(!) \quad y=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
l_{1} & -l_{3} \\
l_{1} & -l_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
l_{1} & l_{2} \\
l_{1} & l_{2}
\end{array}\right|}=\infty(!)
$$

## Points and lines

- Solve the same problem in homogeneous coordinates
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}=0$
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3}{ }_{3} x_{3}=0$
- Subtracting the equations yields
- $\left(l_{3}-l_{3}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
- since $l_{3}\left\langle>l_{3}\right.$, it must be that $\mathrm{x}_{3}=0$
- so $l_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}=0$, which implies that

$$
» \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{k} l_{2}
$$

$$
» \mathrm{x}_{2}=-\mathrm{k} l_{1}
$$

- So, the homogeneous point $\left(\mathrm{k} l_{2},-\mathrm{k} l_{l}, 0\right)$ is the intersection of these two lines
- But, this corresponds to NO point in rectangular coordinates, since its third coordinate is 0 .
■ So, we just "add" these points to the Euclidean plane, producing what is called the projective plane.
- For every value of $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$, we get one of these points


## Points and lines

 - וn- Notice that $\left(\mathrm{k} l_{1}, \mathrm{k} l_{2}, 0\right)$ lies on every line of the form:
$-l_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+l_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+l_{3} x_{3}=0$
- So, every family of parallel lines in the Euclidean plane intersects at a single point in the projective plane.
- What are the set of all lines that pass through any of these new points.
- All of these points have $x_{3}=0$
- The equation of $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 0)$ in line coordinates is $\mathrm{a} l_{1}+\mathrm{b} l_{2}+0 l_{3}=0$
- This line must then be $[0,0,1]$ in homogeneous coordinates
» this doesn't correspond to any line in the Euclidean plane, since its first two coordinates are 0 .
» Every one of our new points lies on this line
» So this line is also added to the Euclidean plane
- The points are called points at infinity, and the new line is called the line at infinity

Perspective imaging - pinhole camera model



Figure 1.4: The point on the image plane that corresponds to a particular point in the scene is found by following the line that passes through the scene point and the center of projection.


## Perspective imaging 

- If $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$ is a point in space in front of the camera, what are the coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}$ ) of its projection on the image
- this is the intersection of the line of sight and the image plane
- The distance of $(x, y, z)$ from the $z$ axis is $r=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$

■ Consider the following two similar triangles

- OPQ
- Opq


- As f gets smaller, image becomes more wide angle (more world points project onto the finite image plane)
- As $f$ gets larger, image becomes more telescopic (smaller part of the world projects onto the finite image plane)




General treatment of vanishing points - Lines in the plane $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square ा$

- In 2-D, we can represent a line by the set of points
$-\mathrm{L}=\left\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mid \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}_{1}+\lambda \mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}_{2}+\lambda \mathrm{b}_{2}\right\}$
- Examples:
- $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ and $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=(1,1)$
$\mathrm{x}=\lambda, \mathrm{y}=\lambda$ or $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ !
$-\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ and $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=(2,2)$
$\mathrm{x}=2 \lambda, \mathrm{y}=2 \lambda$, so $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ again
» so, only $b_{2} / b_{1}$ counts - but this is the slope of the line in the plane
$-\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(0,2)$ and $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=(1,1)$
» $45^{\circ}$ line through $(0,2)$


## Properties of perspective imaging

- 3-D straight lines project to 2-D straight lines
- let L be a 3-D line
$\mathrm{L}=\left\{(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}} \mid\right.$ for some $\left.\lambda,(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}+\lambda\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}$
» passes through ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ )
» ( $\mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{3}$ ) defines 3-D slope or direction cosines of the line
- Line L and the point $(0,0, \mathrm{f})$ form a plane, $\pi$.
- The image, $\ell$, of $L$ must lie in the intersection of $\pi$ with the image plane. WHY?
» the line from any point, P , on L through $(0,0, \mathrm{f})$ must lie on $\pi$ because both P and $(0,0, f)$ lie on $\pi$.
» The perspective projection of P lies both on this line and on the image plane.
- Therefore, $\ell$ must be a line because the intersection of two planes is a line
- What is the equation of $l$ ?
- Let $\pi$ be $\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{By}+\mathrm{Cz}=\mathrm{D}$
» Then the line $l$ must have the equation $\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{By}=\mathrm{D}$, since it is on the plane Z-0 and the plane $\pi$.
- Since $(0,0, \mathrm{f})$ is on $\pi, \mathrm{Cf}=\mathrm{D}$
- Since $\left(a_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}\right)$ is on $\pi, \mathrm{Aa}_{1}+\mathrm{Ba}_{2}+\mathrm{Ca}_{3}=\mathrm{Cf}$
- Since $\pi$ contains $\ell, \mathrm{Ab}_{1}+\mathrm{Bb}_{2}+\mathrm{Cb}_{3}=0$
» We know that $0=A\left(a_{1}+\lambda b_{1}\right)+B\left(a_{2}+\lambda b_{2}\right)+C\left(a_{3}+\lambda b_{3}\right)-C f$ for all $\lambda$.
» This can be written as
- $\mathrm{Aa}_{1}+\mathrm{Ba}_{2}+\mathrm{Ca}_{3}-\mathrm{Cf}+\lambda\left(\mathrm{Ab}_{1}+\mathrm{Bb}_{2}+\mathrm{Cb}_{3}\right)=0$
- But the red term is 0 from above, so we have
- $0+\lambda\left(\mathrm{Ab}_{1}+\mathrm{Bb}_{2}+\mathrm{Cb}_{3}\right)=0$ for all $\lambda$.
- Since since this must be true for all $\lambda$, the second term must be 0


## Properties of perspective imaging

- We can solve for A and B in terms of C

$$
A=-C \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{3}-f & a_{2} \\
b_{3} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|} \quad B=-C \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{3}-f \\
b_{1} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|}
$$



## Properties of perspective imaging 

■ Then ! is the line $\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{By}=\mathrm{Cf}$ ( since $\mathrm{z}=0$ for all points on L ), which after substitution gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -C \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{3}-f & a_{2} \\
b_{3} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|} x-C \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{3}-f \\
b_{1} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|} y-C f=0 \\
& \left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{3}-f & a_{2} \\
b_{3} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right| x+\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{3}-f \\
b_{1} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right| y+\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right| f=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let $L$ be a set of straight lines that passes through a point P
- the images of all L in $L$ must pass through the image of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{p}$.
- (special case) Let P be in the focal plane $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{f}$
- $P=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, f\right)$
- Then the image of any line in $L$ is

$$
-a_{2} b_{3} x+a_{1} b_{3} y+f\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

- They all have slopes $a_{2} / a_{1}$ independent of $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$
- Thus, the images of all the lines in $L$ are parallel (provided that $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ are not 0 - in which case the lines are in the focal plane and do not have images).
- So, concurrent lines map into concurrent (general case when P is not on the focal plane) or parallel straight lines (special case when P is on the focal plane).
- Let $L$ be a family of parallel straight lines of the form:
$L=\left\{(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}} \mid\right.$ for some $\left.\lambda,(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}+\lambda\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right\}$
which pass through $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)^{T}($ different for different lines) and have direction cosines $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)^{T}$ (same for all lines)
■ The perspective projection $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ of any point on $L$ is given by
$-x^{\prime}=f\left[a_{1}+\lambda b_{1}\right] /\left[a_{3}+\lambda b_{3}\right]$
$-y^{\prime}=f\left[a_{2}+\lambda b_{2}\right] /\left[a_{3}+\lambda b_{3}\right]$
- For lines which have some slope along the optical axis we must have that $b_{3}$ <> 0 .
- Points which are on the lines and are infinitely far away from the center of the lens will have the perspective projection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{x \infty}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(a_{1}+\lambda b_{1}\right)}{a_{3}+\lambda b_{2}}=f b_{1} / b_{3} \\
& y \infty=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(a_{2}+\lambda b_{2}\right)}{a_{3}+\lambda b_{2}}=f b_{2} / b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Since the point $\left(x^{\prime} \infty, y^{\prime}{ }_{\infty}\right)$ is independent of $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}\right)$ all parallel lines which have nonzero slopes along the optic axis have perspective projections which meet at the same vanishing point

- If $L$ are parallel to the ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) plane, then the argument fails since $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{3}$ would be undefined ( $b_{3}=0$ ).
- In this case, L maps into parallel lines in the image.
- Lines in $L$ are of the form $\left(x-\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) / \mathrm{b}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{a}_{2}\right) / \mathrm{b}_{2}=0$ and the image lines are all parallel to $x / b_{1}=y / b_{2}=0$ in the image plane
- Example: Family of lines parallel to the $y$-axis: $b_{1}=b_{3}=0, b_{2}=1$. Vertical lines all map into vertical lines ( $\mathrm{x}=$ constant) in the image plane



## Importance of vanishing points

 $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$■ From the position of the vanishing point in the image we can recover the direction cosines of the 3D parallel lines that meet at that vanishing point

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
b 1 \\
b 2 \\
b 3
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{\prime 2} \infty+y^{\prime 2} \infty+f^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \infty \\
y \infty \\
f
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Point in a known plane 

■ Motivation: robot driver

- Suppose a robot is driving on a flat road
- It "sees" a turn in the road in the image
- How far down the road, in 3-D is this turn.
- Assume robot knows the equation of the road plane in the ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ ) coordinate system attached to the camera.
- then the 3-D position of any point on the road can be determined.



## Point in a known plane $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$

- Let $(\mathrm{abc})^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the 3-D point and $(\mathrm{u} v)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be its perspective projection. Then
- $u=f a / c$
- $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{c}$

■ Let the ground plane be $\left\{(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})^{\mathrm{T}} \mid \mathrm{AX}+\mathrm{BY}+\mathrm{CZ}+\mathrm{D}=0\right\}$
■ Since the point lies on the plane, $\mathrm{Aa}+\mathrm{Bb}+\mathrm{Cc}+\mathrm{D}=0$

- So, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{uc} / \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{vc} / \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{Cc}+\mathrm{D}=0$, using the projection equations above. This equation can be solved for c , and then the projection equations can be used to solve for a and b

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\frac{-D f}{A u+B v+C f} \\
& b=\frac{-D v}{A u+B v+C f} \\
& c=\frac{-D u}{A u+B v+C f}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Stereo imaging



- (X,Y,Z) are the coordinates of P in the Cyclopean coordinate system.
- The coordinates of P in the left camera coordinate system are $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)=(\mathrm{X}-$ b/2, Y, Z)
- The coordinates of P in the right camera coordinate system are $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)=$ (X+b/2, Y, Z)
- So, the x image coordinates of the projection of P are
- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}=(\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{b} / 2) \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{Z}$
- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{b} / 2) \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{Z}$
- Subtracting the second equation from the first, and solving for Z we obtain:
- $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{bf} /\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$
- We can also solve for X and Y :
$-\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{R}}\right) / 2\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$
$-\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{by} /\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$

Image formation


■ Distance is inversely proportional to |disparity|

- disparity of 0 corresponds to points that are infinitely far away from the cameras
- in digital systems, disparity can take on only integer values (ignoring the possibility of identifying point locations to better than a pixel resolution)
- so, a disparity measurement in the image just constrains distance to lie in a given range
- Disparity is directly proportional to b
- the larger $b$, the further we can accurately range
- but as $b$ increases, the images decrease in common field of view

■ Definition: A scene point, P , visible in both cameras gives rise to a pair of image points called a conjugate pair.

- the conjugate of a point in the left (right) image must lie on the same image row (line) in the right (left) image because the two have the same y coordinate
- this line is called the conjugate line.
- so, for our simple image geometry, all conjugate lines are parallel to the x axis


## A more practical stereo image model



- Difficult, practically, to
- have the optical axes parallel
- have the baseline perpendicular to the optical axes
- Also, we might want to tilt the cameras towards one another to have more overlap in the images
- Calibration problem - finding the transformation between the two cameras
- it is a rigid body motion and can be decomposed into a rotation, $\mathbf{R}$, and a translation, $\mathbf{T}$.


## A more practical stereo image model

- Let $p_{L}=\left(X_{L}, Y_{L}, Z_{L}\right)^{T}$ be the position of $P$ in the left system

■ Let $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the position of P in the right system

- Then $p_{R}=R p_{L}+T$ where $R$ is a $3 \times 3$ orthonormal matrix representing the rotation $\left(\mathrm{RR}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{I}\right)$ and T is the translation vector
- This represents a set of 3 linear equations in 12 unknowns; so a set of 4 noncoplanar pairs of 3-D points is sufficient, in general to solve for the transformation
- in fact, orthonormality of R means only 3 points are required


Image formation

## A more practical stereo image model

■ Generally, we only have the conjugate pairs, not the 3-D coordinates of the scene points in the two coordinate systems

- For a given conjugate pair, we have:
$r_{11} X_{L}+r_{12} y_{L}+r_{13} f+t_{1} f / Z_{L}=x_{R} Z_{R} / Z_{L}$
$r_{21} x_{L}+r_{22} y_{L}+r_{23} f+t_{2} f / Z_{L}=y_{R} Z_{R} / Z_{L}$
$r_{31} x_{L}+r_{32} y_{L}+r_{33} f+t_{3} f / Z_{L}=f Z_{R} / Z_{L}$
- This is 3 equations in 14 unknowns
- Each additional conjugate pair adds 3 equations, but also adds two unknowns (the Z coordinates)
- For $n$ points we have $3 n$ equations and $12+2 n$ unknowns. So, we need at least 12 points to satisfy the equations


## A more practical stereo image model

- Note that the unknowns $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Lj}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Rj}}$ appear only in ratios
- So, if $\mathbf{T}, Z_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Rj}}$ is a solution, then so is $\mathrm{kT}, \mathrm{k} Z_{\mathrm{Lj}}, \mathrm{k} Z_{\mathrm{Rj}}$ for any k not equal to 0
- This means that absolute range cannot be determined - must have one more constraint to get a unique solution (e.g., ( $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}$ )=1


## General stereo matching 

- Assume relative orientation of cameras is known
- An image point $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ in the left coordinate system is the image of some point on a ray through the origin of the left camera coordinate system. The points on this ray all have coordinates of the form
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{fs} \quad$ since all points of this form project onto $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$
■ In the right image system, the coordinates of points on this ray are:
$X_{R}=\left(r_{11} x_{L}+r_{12} y_{L}+r_{13} f\right) s+t_{1}$
$Y_{R}=\left(r_{21} x_{L}+r_{22} y_{L}+r_{23} f\right) s+t_{2}$
$Z_{R}=\left(r_{31} x_{L}+r_{32} y_{L}+r_{33} f\right) s+t_{3}$
- These points project onto $x_{R} / f=X_{R} / Z_{R}$ and $y_{R} / f=Y_{R} / Z_{R}$




## General stereo imaging 

## FACTS

- Point P lies somewhere on the ray (line) $\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ from $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$ through $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$

■ but from the left image alone, we do not know where on this ray P lies

- Since the perspective projection of a line is a line, the perspective projection of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ in the right image is a line
■ the "first" point on $\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ that might correspond to P is $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$
- any point closer to the left image than $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ would be between the lens and the image plane, and could not be seen
- the perspective projection of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ in the right camera is the point $\mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{\mathrm{R}}$.

■ the "last" point on $\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ that might correspond to P is the point "infinitely" far away along the ray $\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$
$\square$ but its image is the vanishing point of the ray $\Lambda_{L}$ in the right camera, $d_{R}$

- any other possible location for P will project to a point in R on the line joining
$\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ to $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{R}}$.


## General stereo imaging 

## First general conclusion

- Given any point, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$, in the left image of a stereo pair, its conjugate point must appear on a line in the right image
- Furthermore, all of the conjugate lines for all of the points in the left image must pass through a common point in the right image
» this is image of the left lens center in the right image
» this point lies on the line of sight for every point in the left image
» therefore, the conjugate lines must all contain (i.e., pass through) the image of this point
» This point is called an epipole.
- Finally, the conjugate line for $p_{\mathrm{L}}$ must also pass through the vanishing point in the right image for the line of sight through $p_{L}$
- Since we know, based on $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$ 's coordinates, the coordinates in the right image of two points on its conjugate line, we know its conjugate line BUT THERE IS ONE MORE POINT!



## Next FACT



- Remember that
- any three non-collinear points define a plane, and
- the intersection of two planes is a straight line
- The points $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$, and $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{R}}$ are three non-collinear points, so they form a plane, $\Pi$
- the line $\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ lies on this plane, since two points on the line lie on the plane
- The intersection of this plane with the right image plane is the conjugate line of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$
- and this would be the image of any line on this plane
- Let $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ be some other point on the line joining $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{R}}$.
- the line of sight through $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$ to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ lies on $\Pi$ since two points on that line $\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) lie on the plane
- Therefore, the conjugate line for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$ must be the same line as the conjugate line for $p_{L}$, or for any other point on the line containing $p_{L}$ and $o^{R}{ }_{L}$.
- The lines $p_{L}-o^{R}{ }_{L}$ and $p_{R}-o^{R}{ }_{L}$ are called epipolar lines

■ Given any point, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$, in the right image

- it lies on a line containing the image of the right camera center in the left image, and
- it has a conjugate line in the right camera
- Given any point on either of these two lines, its conjugate pair must lie on the other line
- These lines are called epipolar lines.


## Stereo correspondence problem

 $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square^{\square}$- Given a point,p, in the left image, find its conjugate point in the right image
- called the stereo correspondence problem
- solve using either gray level correlation or edge correlation

■ What constraints simplify this problem?

- Epipolar constraint - need only search for the conjugate point on the epipolar line
- Positive disparity constraint - need only search the epipolar line to the "right" of the vanishing point in the right image of the ray through p in the left coordinate system
- Continuity constraint - if we are looking at a continuous surface, images of points along a given epipolar line will be ordered the same way


## Stereo correspondence problem



- Similarity of correspondence functions along adjacent epipolar lines
- Disparity gradient constraint - disparity changes slowly over most of the image.
- Exceptions occur at and near occluding boundaries where we have either discontinuities in disparity or large disparity gradients as the surface recedes away from sight.


As the blue surfaces become more slanted, they occupy a smaller area of the image


Why is the correspondence problem hard?


- Foreshortening effects
- Match images at low resolutions
- User resulting disparity map to warp images
- Match at next higher resolution
- A square match window in one image will be distorted in the other if disparity is not constant - complicates correlation



## Why is the correspondence problem hard $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square^{\square}$

■ Occlusion

- Even for a smooth surface, there might be points visible in one image and not the other
- Consider aerial photo pair of urban area - vertical walls of buildings might be visible in one image and not the other
- scene with depth discontinuities (lurking objects) violate continuity constraint and introduces occlusion



Why is the correspondence problem hard? $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square^{\square}$

- Variations in intensity between images due to
- noise
- specularities
- shape-from-shading differences
- Coincidence of edge and epipolar line orientation
- consider problem of matching horizontal edges in an ideal left right stereo pair
- will obtain good match all along the edge
- so, edge based stereo algorithms only match edges that cross the epipolar lines


